

Politics Again – Language, Structure and Formalism, Formalization



By Shomit Sirohi

Preface

A biography reveals Hegel – his process of being simple and elegant or just meaning cultural criticism if derived. Like that philosophy is just the process of a reflection on life – it is just the process of in fact reflecting on Hegel and Marx as always but with the Heidegger alithea joining it – so mathematics, dialectics and Individuality – the for-itself.

Transcendence and facticity – how do we diagonalize this fact of Sartre perhaps even Foucault.

Introduction

We mean in French philosophy – Concept and Form – the pure being of Hegel joined to forms – social forms, linguistic forms – and so

dialectical forms – which is mathematical – I myself added in fact Marx to this process even Maoism and Lenin and Trotsky – so I mean we can also be praxis oriented and finally I add Lacan and Freud. Which was in a sense already there.

What means structure, is also advanced meta-structural re-articulation of structure – which means finally that the *le vide* is finally formalization of the process of the subject – a model or concept of a model – which is how it becomes Hegel as a model in *Theorie du Sujet* which means finally shifting to the process of modelling and developing the Concept of a empirical process like even Perry Anderson there.

This elementary dialectic between void and excess – the process of

making linguistic the whole of Marxism – to form a mathematical language like Foucault.

I. Formalization and the Concept, even Form I add

The process of mathematical formalization in Althusser is a Pythagorean Habit – it is like measuring a scale onto the snail, that the dialectical process is also mathematical process, and so Marx encounters Hegel and produces a syntax of pure mathematics, and dialectics.

II. For-itself and the Subject – Sartre remains

In fact then the process without subject needs a subject – in fact a concrete subject I argue – which is in Badiou so complex – like state-revolutionary – leader, mass leader, and such a “clashing logic”.

Part II

I. Thing and Object

Is it the thing, or the object? Thing and the pure being of it, just the thing, and its speculative judgement it is a snail which becomes a object of the snail – mathematics. So dialectics and mathematics – mathematical dialectics then.

II. Le Moi

So then death, or myself dying – can we say we are in difficulties and even worse conditions, some literal being some Exis-tence.

III. Existent, Multiple,
Inexistence, let us shift then from class and the love for lists in Mao, to the list in fact of terms, and so poetic moment of thought – terminology – how in fact lists work

Just list the features of Hegelianism, contrast it with Marxism – find in fact Heideggerianism – we call this process – finding out about each other now.

It has to a listed process – like equivalence and non-equivalence is not clear in French philosophy – the

ideological couplet Derrida and
Lacan and Foucault.

We mean then we shift to the listing
process in Mao – for a number of
processes – finally called by Sirohi
aleatory subterranean current
joining the major current – Hegel.

Which then is his teaching of
philosophy – minor and major
currents – two logics in philosophy.

This becomes terminology – that we
can follow the lesser current as
lesser logic and greater logic –
which means Being and Event and
Logics of Worlds.

IV. Terminology again

Linguistic Foucault – who said that
terminology is the poetic moment of

thought – like literature influencing philosophy – which becomes a phrasal reversal in writing or philosophy.

We mean – witness Foucault's highly blue ink process. And a subject – just the episteme and myself, le moi again.